## CERTIFICATION OF ENROLLMENT

### ENGROSSED SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL 6099

## 60th Legislature 2007 Regular Session

Passed by the Senate April 17, 2007 YEAS 42 NAYS 6	CERTIFICATE
	I, Thomas Hoemann, Secretary of the Senate of the State of Washington, do hereby certify that
President of the Senate  Passed by the House April 13, 2007  YEAS 74 NAYS 23	the attached is ENGROSSEI SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL 6099 as passed by the Senate and the House of Representatives on the dates hereon set forth.
Speaker of the House of Representatives	Secretary
Approved	FILED
	Secretary of State State of Washington
Governor of the State of Washington	State of Washington

#### \_\_\_\_\_

# ENGROSSED SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL 6099

#### AS AMENDED BY THE HOUSE

Passed Legislature - 2007 Regular Session

State of Washington 60th Legislature 2007 Regular Session

**By** Senate Committee on Transportation (originally sponsored by Senator Murray)

READ FIRST TIME 02/28/07.

- 1 AN ACT Relating to the state route number 520 bridge replacement
- 2 and HOV project; amending RCW 47.01.380; adding new sections to chapter
- 3 47.01 RCW; creating a new section; and declaring an emergency.
- 4 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON:
- 5 <u>NEW SECTION.</u> **Sec. 1.** The legislature finds that the replacement
- 6 of the vulnerable state route number 520 corridor is a matter of
- 7 urgency for the safety of Washington's traveling public and the needs
- 8 of the transportation system in central Puget Sound. The state route
- 9 number 520 floating bridge is susceptible to damage, closure, or even
- 10 catastrophic failure from earthquakes, windstorms, and waves.
- 11 Additionally, the bridge serves as a vital route for vehicles to cross
- 12 Lake Washington, carrying over three times its design capacity in
- 13 traffic, resulting in more than seven hours of congestion per day.
- 14 Therefore, it is the conclusion of the legislature that time is of
- 15 the essence, and that Washington state cannot wait for a disaster to
- 16 make it fully appreciate the urgency of the need to replace this
- 17 vulnerable structure. The state must take the necessary steps to move
- 18 forward with a state route number 520 bridge replacement project design
- 19 that provides six total lanes, with four general purpose lanes and two

- 1 lanes that are for high-occupancy vehicle travel that could also
- 2 accommodate high capacity transportation, and the bridge shall also be
- 3 designed to accommodate light rail in the future. High-occupancy
- 4 vehicle lanes in the state route 520 corridor must also be able to
- 5 support a bus rapid transit system.
- 6 <u>NEW SECTION.</u> **Sec. 2.** A new section is added to chapter 47.01 RCW 7 to read as follows:
- (1) As soon as practicable after the effective date of this act, 8 9 and after consulting with the city of Seattle, the office of financial management shall hire a mediator, and appropriate planning staff, 10 11 including urban, transportation, and neighborhood planners, to develop a state route number 520 project impact plan for addressing the impacts 12 of the state route number 520 bridge replacement and HOV project design 13 on Seattle city neighborhoods, parks, including the Washington park 14 arboretum, and institutions of higher education. 15 The mediator must 16 have significant professional experience in working with communities 17 surround major transportation construction projects, mitigating the impacts of those transportation projects on those 18 The office of financial management shall hire the 19 communities. 20 mediator and the planning staff within existing appropriations 21 allocated for the state route number 520 bridge replacement and HOV project. The position of mediator under this section is not considered 22 23 a certified or legally binding position.
  - (2) The mediator's responsibility to develop a project impact plan is highly time sensitive. As a result, competitive bidding is not cost-effective or appropriate for personal service contracts to hire the mediator. The director of the office of financial management shall, by the director's authority under RCW 39.29.011(5), exempt any such personal service contract from the competitive bidding requirements of chapter 39.29 RCW.
  - (3) In evaluating the project impacts, the mediator must consider the concerns of neighborhoods and institutions of higher education directly impacted by the proposed design, establish a process that incorporates interest-based negotiation, and work with the appropriate planning staff to develop mitigation recommendations related to the project design. The mediator shall work to ensure that the project

24

2526

27

28

29

30

31

3233

34

35

36

impact plan provides a comprehensive approach to mitigating the impacts of the project, including incorporating construction mitigation plans.

1 2

3

4 5

6 7

8

10

1112

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

2021

22

23

24

25

2627

28

29

30

3132

33

3637

38

- (4) The ultimate goal of the mediation and planning process established in subsection (1) of this section is to develop a project impact plan agreed to by all appropriate parties including, but not limited to, those parties listed in subsection (6) of this section. The project impact plan must be consistent with RCW 47.01.380, and must support and be consistent with the approved purpose and need statement for the project, which is: "The purpose of the project is to improve mobility for people and goods across Lake Washington within the SR 520 corridor from Seattle to Redmond in a manner that is safe, reliable, and cost-effective while avoiding, minimizing, and/or mitigating impacts on the affected neighborhoods and the environment." mediator must strive to develop a consensus-based plan. In the event that the mediation process does not result in consensus, the mediator shall submit a project impact plan to the governor and the joint transportation committee that reflects the views of the majority of the mediation participants.
  - (5) The process established in subsection (1) of this section shall result in a project design that provides six total lanes, with four general purpose lanes and two lanes that are for high-occupancy vehicle travel that could also accommodate high capacity transportation. The bridge shall also be designed to accommodate light rail in the future and to support a bus rapid transit system. Additionally, the mediator shall strive to develop a project impact plan within the constraints of the range of estimated project costs as of May 1, 2007.
  - (6)(a) In performing the duties of this section, and consistent with the governor's findings and conclusions, dated December 15, 2006, the mediator shall work with interested parties directly affected by the state route number 520 bridge replacement and HOV project including, but not limited to, at least the following:
- (i) Representation from each neighborhood directly impacted by the project;
- 34 (ii) Representation from local governments on both ends of the 35 bridge directly impacted by the project;
  - (iii) Representation from King county;
  - (iv) Representation from the Washington park arboretum;
    - (v) Representation from the University of Washington; and

1 (vi) Representation from sound transit.

4

6 7

8

18

19

2021

22

2324

25

26

27

28

2930

31

32

33

34

- 2 (b) The mediator shall also work with the department and others as necessary.
  - (c) Before the mediator may submit the project impact plan, it must be reviewed by the mayor of Seattle and the Seattle city council. The project impact plan must reflect whether the mayor and council concur or do not concur with the plan and include an explanation regarding their positions.
- 9 (7) Until December 1, 2008, the mediator must provide periodic 10 reports to the joint transportation committee and the governor 11 regarding the status of the project impact plan development process. 12 The mediator must submit a progress report to the joint transportation 13 committee and the governor by August 1, 2007. The mediator must submit 14 a final project impact plan to the governor and legislature by December 15 1, 2008.
- NEW SECTION. Sec. 3. A new section is added to chapter 47.01 RCW to read as follows:

In developing the state route number 520 project impact plan provided in section 2 of this act, the mediator and associated planning staff shall review the department's project design plans in the draft environmental impact statement for conformance with the following legislative goals regarding the final design for the state route number 520 bridge replacement and HOV project:

- (1) Minimize the total footprint and width of the bridge, and seek appropriate federal design variances to safety and mobility standards, while complying with other federal laws;
- (2) Minimize the project impact on surrounding neighborhoods, including incorporation of green lids and connectors, and minimize any increases in additional traffic volumes through the Washington park arboretum and other adjacent neighborhoods;
- (3) Incorporate the recommendations of a health impact assessment to calculate the project's impact on air quality, carbon emissions, and other public health issues, conducted by the Puget Sound clean air agency and King county public health;
- 35 (4) Ensure that the ultimate project configuration effectively 36 prioritizes maintaining travel time, speed, and reliability on the two 37 high-occupancy vehicle lanes; and

(5) Clearly articulate in required environmental documents the alignment of the selected preferred alternative for the state route number 520 bridge replacement and HOV project and the footprint of the project and the affected areas.

1 2

3

4

5

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2627

28

29

30

31

32

3334

35

NEW SECTION. **Sec. 4.** A new section is added to chapter 47.01 RCW to read as follows:

In addition to the review required in section 3 of this act, the mediator may determine that additional alternative concept designs should be considered for the west end of the project to best meet the expressed legislative goals described in section 3 of this act. mediator may contract with an engineering firm to conduct independent feasibility analysis of the following proposals: combination of tunnels and submerged tubes under Lake Washington; a partial tunnel from Interstate 5 to the west end of the state route number 520 bridge; and a proposal to move state route number 520 from its current alignment through the arboretum. The analyses for all alternative concept design plans must be submitted to the joint transportation committee and the governor by September 1, 2007. mediator must hold a public hearing regarding the results of the independent review and reflect the independent review findings in the project impact plan. Up to two hundred fifty thousand dollars of the existing funding appropriation to the project shall be used for reviewing these alternative concept design plans.

- Sec. 5. RCW 47.01.380 and 2006 c 311 s 26 are each amended to read as follows:
- (1) The department shall not commence construction on any part of the state route number 520 bridge replacement and HOV project until a record of decision has been reached providing reasonable assurance that project impacts will be avoided, minimized, or mitigated as much as practicable to protect against further adverse impacts on neighborhood environmental quality as a result of repairs and improvements made to the state route number 520 bridge and its connecting roadways, and that any such impacts will be addressed through engineering design choices, mitigation measures, or a combination of both.
  - (2) The department shall not commence on-site construction on any

- part of the state route number 520 bridge replacement and HOV project until the department submits the finance plan required in section 7 of this act to the legislature.
- 4 (3) The requirements of this section shall not apply to off-site 5 pontoon construction supporting the state route number 520 bridge 6 replacement and HOV project.
- NEW SECTION. Sec. 6. A new section is added to chapter 47.01 RCW to read as follows:

9 As part of the state route number 520 bridge replacement and HOV project, the governor's office shall work with the department, sound 10 11 transit, King county metro, and the University of Washington, to plan for high capacity transportation in the state route number 520 12 The parties shall jointly develop a multimodal 13 corridor. transportation plan that ensures the effective and 14 efficient coordination of bus services and light rail services throughout the 15 16 state route number 520 corridor. The plan shall include alternatives 17 for a multimodal transit station that serves the state route number 520 - Montlake interchange vicinity, and mitigation of impacts on affected 18 parties. The high capacity transportation planning work must be 19 20 closely coordinated with the state route number 520 bridge replacement 21 and HOV project's environmental planning process, and must be completed within the current funding for the project. A draft plan must be 22 submitted to the governor and the joint transportation committee by 23 24 October 1, 2007. A final plan must be submitted to the governor and the joint transportation committee by December 2008. 25

NEW SECTION. Sec. 7. A new section is added to chapter 47.01 RCW to read as follows:

The state route number 520 bridge replacement and HOV project finance plan must include state funding, federal funding, at least one billion dollars in regional contributions, and revenue from tolling. The department must provide a proposed finance plan to be tied to the estimated cost of the recommended project solutions, as provided under section 3 of this act, to the governor and the joint transportation committee by January 1, 2008.

- NEW SECTION. Sec. 8. If any provision of this act or its application to any person or circumstance is held invalid, the remainder of the act or the application of the provision to other persons or circumstances is not affected.
  - <u>NEW SECTION.</u> **Sec. 9.** This act is necessary for the immediate preservation of the public peace, health, or safety, or support of the state government and its existing public institutions, and takes effect immediately.

5

6

7

8

--- END ---